



## *The Beautiful Brain: The Drawings of Santiago Ramon y Cajal*

### **Public Understanding of Neuroscience**

Key points from a review of the literature

#### *Public interest*

- Compelling images of the brain and the apparent ability to 'see thoughts' have aroused interest from the public and the commercial sector<sup>1</sup>.
- Brain-related research topics with implications for everyday life, such as memory and emotion, elicit more public interest than do topics such as brain diseases and therapies<sup>2</sup>.

#### *What the public knows/believes*

- Brain research offers knowledge that can be applied to improve life quality<sup>3</sup>.
- The mind is a product of the brain<sup>4</sup>.
- The brain can be compared to a computer<sup>5</sup>.

#### *Mistaken beliefs about memory and the brain*

- Many "neuromyths" are accepted by the general public and by K-12 educators. Educators with more general knowledge about the brain are *more* likely to believe in neuromyths.<sup>6</sup>
- In general, the public<sup>7</sup>
  - fails to associate learning to modifications in the brain, more specifically in its connections
  - fails to acknowledge the existence of different types of memory
  - believes that we use only 10% of our brain
  - thinks that "human memory works like a video camera, accurately recording the events we see and hear so that we can review and inspect them later"

#### *Neuroscience in the media*

- Neuroscience is among several scientific disciplines that are particularly prone to misinformation and inaccurate reporting.<sup>8</sup> Neuroimaging metaphors seem to favor oversimplification.<sup>9</sup>
- Coverage of neuroimaging technologies in the mass media is mostly positive<sup>10,11</sup>.
  - Few articles address limitations or societal/ethical implications.
  - Articles particularly likely to have positive viewpoints included those on marketing (77%), biosecurity (57%) and employment (60%), whereas articles on lie detection were most likely to have a neutral or skeptical view point (74%).
  - Many (41%) of media articles gave little or no technical detail of the neuroimaging methods; this was particularly notable in articles on marketing (77%) and diagnosis (73%).<sup>12</sup>

- Three major themes<sup>13</sup> are present in media coverage:
  - The brain as capital, a resource to be optimized
  - The brain as an index of difference, using neuroscience to delineate boundaries between categories of people
  - Brain research as biological proof of the legitimacy of particular phenomena or beliefs

---

<sup>1</sup> Judy Illes et al., “Neurotalk: Improving the Communication of Neuroscience Research,” *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 11, no. 1 (2010): 61–69.

<sup>2</sup> Suzana Herculano-Houzel, “What Does the Public Want to Know about the Brain?,” *Nature Neuroscience* 6, no. 4 (2003): 325–325.

<sup>3</sup> Suzana Herculano-Houzel, “Do You Know Your Brain? A Survey on Public Neuroscience Literacy at the Closing of the Decade of the Brain,” *The Neuroscientist* 8, no. 2 (2002): 98–110.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid.

<sup>5</sup> Alessandra Sperduti et al., “‘Do Octopuses Have a Brain?’ Knowledge, Perceptions and Attitudes towards Neuroscience at School,” ed. Frank Krueger, *PLoS ONE* 7, no. 10 (October 17, 2012): e47943, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047943.

<sup>6</sup> Daniel J. Simons and Christopher F. Chabris, “What People Believe about How Memory Works: A Representative Survey of the U.S. Population,” ed. Laurie Santos, *PLoS ONE* 6, no. 8 (August 3, 2011): e22757, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022757.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid.

<sup>8</sup> Illes et al., “Neurotalk.”

<sup>9</sup> Eric Racine et al., “Contemporary Neuroscience in the Media,” *Social Science & Medicine* 71, no. 4 (August 2010): 725–33, doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.05.017.

<sup>10</sup> Irja Marije de Jong et al., “Responsible Reporting: Neuroimaging News in the Age of Responsible Research and Innovation,” *Science and Engineering Ethics* 22, no. 4 (July 25, 2015): 1107–30, doi:10.1007/s11948-015-9684-7.

<sup>11</sup> Garret O’Connell et al., “The Brain, the Science and the Media,” *EMBO Reports* 12, no. 7 (July 1, 2011): 630–36, doi:10.1038/embor.2011.115.

<sup>12</sup> Ibid.

<sup>13</sup> Clíodhna O’Connor, Geraint Rees, and Helene Joffe, “Neuroscience in the Public Sphere,” *Neuron* 74, no. 2 (April 2012): 220–26, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.04.004.

## Bibliography

- “Brain Awareness Video Contest.” Accessed September 14, 2016. <http://www.sfn.org/Public-Outreach/Education-Programs/Brain-Awareness-Video-Contest>.
- “Brain Museum.” Accessed September 19, 2016. [http://medicine.buffalo.edu/about/community\\_outreach/neuroanatomymuseum.html](http://medicine.buffalo.edu/about/community_outreach/neuroanatomymuseum.html).
- “Brain: The Inside Story.” *AMNH*. Accessed September 19, 2016. <http://www.amnh.org/exhibitions/brain-the-inside-story>.
- “Brain: The Inside Story: For Educators.” *AMNH*. Accessed September 19, 2016. <http://www.amnh.org/exhibitions/brain-the-inside-story/brain-promos/for-educators>.
- BrainFacts.org. “Neuroscience Core Concepts.” Accessed September 14, 2016. <http://www.brainfacts.org/coreconcepts>.
- “Case Study of Mind by Erik Thogersen - ExhibitFiles.” Accessed September 20, 2016. <http://www.exhibitfiles.org/mind>.
- Dekker, Sanne, Nikki C. Lee, Paul Howard-Jones, and Jelle Jolles. “Neuromyths in Education: Prevalence and Predictors of Misconceptions among Teachers.” *Frontiers in Psychology* 3 (2012). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00429.
- Dommett, E. J., M. S. Westwell, and S. A. Greenfield. “A Multifaceted Approach to Neuroscience Outreach: Meeting the Challenges.” *The Neuroscientist* 13, no. 5 (October 1, 2007): 447–53. doi:10.1177/1073858407299942.
- Dowie, Megan J., Erin Forsyth, and Leah Forsyth. “Brian: The Typographical Error That Brought Early Career Neuroscientists and Artists Together.” *PLOS Biol* 10, no. 6 (June 5, 2012): e1001340. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001340.
- Eagleman, David. “The Brain on Trial.” *The Atlantic*, August 2011. <http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2011/07/the-brain-on-trial/308520/>.
- Fasolo, Aldo. “Neuroscience Is Coming to Age, at Least for Its Public Communication.” *Method-Analytic Perspectives* 2, no. 2 (2013): IV.
- Fogg-Rogers, L., J.L. Bay, H. Burgess, and S.C. Purdy. “‘Knowledge Is Power’: A Mixed-Methods Study Exploring Adult Audience Preferences for Engagement and Learning Formats Over 3 Years of a Health Science Festival.” *Science Communication* 37, no. 4 (2015): 419–51. doi:10.1177/1075547015585006.
- Herculano-Houzel, Suzana. “Do You Know Your Brain? A Survey on Public Neuroscience Literacy at the Closing of the Decade of the Brain.” *The Neuroscientist* 8, no. 2 (2002): 98–110.
- . “What Does the Public Want to Know about the Brain?” *Nature Neuroscience* 6, no. 4 (2003): 325–325.
- Hook, Cayce J., and Martha J. Farah. “Look Again: Effects of Brain Images and Mind–Brain Dualism on Lay Evaluations of Research.” *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 25, no. 9 (September 2013): 1397–1405. doi:10.1162/jocn\_a\_00407.
- Howard-Jones, Paul A. “Neuroscience and Education: Myths and Messages.” *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 15, no. 12 (2014): 817–824.
- Illes, Judy, Mary Anne Moser, Jennifer B. McCormick, Eric Racine, Sandra Blakeslee, Arthur Caplan, Erika Check Hayden, et al. “Neurotalk: Improving the Communication of Neuroscience Research.” *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 11, no. 1 (2010): 61–69.

- “Image of the Week.” Accessed September 14, 2016.  
<http://www.brainfacts.org/ContentTypeList?Filter={C5986D07-2A79-4887-8EA9-8B7FD9053246}>.
- Ingham, K. “Between: Curating Representations of the Embodied Brain.” *Interdisciplinary Science Reviews* 38, no. 3 (2013): 247–58. doi:10.1179/0308018813Z.00000000050.
- Jong, Irja Marije de, Frank Kupper, Marlous Arentshorst, and Jacqueline Broerse. “Responsible Reporting: Neuroimaging News in the Age of Responsible Research and Innovation.” *Science and Engineering Ethics* 22, no. 4 (July 25, 2015): 1107–30. doi:10.1007/s11948-015-9684-7.
- Keehner, Madeleine, and Martin H. Fischer. “Naive Realism in Public Perceptions of Neuroimages.” *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 12, no. 2 (February 2011): 118–65. doi:10.1038/nrn2773-c1.
- Keehner, Madeleine, Lisa Mayberry, and Martin H. Fischer. “Different Clues from Different Views: The Role of Image Format in Public Perceptions of Neuroimaging Results.” *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review* 18, no. 2 (April 2011): 422–28. doi:10.3758/s13423-010-0048-7.
- “Lighting The Brain.” *New Yorker* 91, no. 13 (May 18, 2015): 74–1.
- Michael, Robert B., Eryn J. Newman, Matti Vuorre, Geoff Cumming, and Maryanne Garry. “On the (Non)persuasive Power of a Brain Image.” *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review* 20, no. 4 (August 2013): 720–25. doi:10.3758/s13423-013-0391-6.
- O’Connell, Garret, Janet De Wilde, Jane Haley, Kirsten Shuler, Burkhard Schafer, Peter Sandercock, and Joanna M. Wardlaw. “The Brain, the Science and the Media.” *EMBO Reports* 12, no. 7 (July 1, 2011): 630–36. doi:10.1038/embor.2011.115.
- O’Connor, C., and H. Joffe. “Gender on the Brain: A Case Study of Science Communication in the New Media Environment.” *PLoS ONE* 9, no. 10 (2014). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110830.
- O’Connor, Cliodhna. “The Brain in Society: Public Engagement with Neuroscience.” UCL (University College London), 2014. <http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1420495/>.
- O’Connor, Cliodhna, and Helene Joffe. “How Has Neuroscience Affected Lay Understandings of Personhood? A Review of the Evidence.” *Public Understanding of Science* 22, no. 3 (2013): 254–268.
- . “Social Representations of Brain Research Exploring Public (Dis)engagement With Contemporary Neuroscience.” *Science Communication* 36, no. 5 (October 1, 2014): 617–45. doi:10.1177/1075547014549481.
- O’Connor, Cliodhna, Geraint Rees, and Helene Joffe. “Neuroscience in the Public Sphere.” *Neuron* 74, no. 2 (April 2012): 220–26. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.04.004.
- Oliver, Mary. “Towards an Understanding of Neuroscience for Science Educators.” *Studies in Science Education* 47, no. 2 (September 2011): 211–35. doi:10.1080/03057267.2011.604478.
- Pickering, Susan J., and Paul Howard-Jones. “Educators’ Views on the Role of Neuroscience in Education: Findings from a Study of UK and International Perspectives.” *Mind, Brain, and Education* 1, no. 3 (2007): 109–113.
- Pickersgill, Martyn, Paul Martin, and Sarah Cunningham-Burley. “The Changing Brain: Neuroscience and the Enduring Import of Everyday Experience.” *Public Understanding of Science* 24, no. 7 (2015): 878–892.

- Pollack, A.E., and D.L. Korol. "The Use of Haiku to Convey Complex Concepts in Neuroscience." *Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education* 12, no. 1 (2013): A42–48.
- Racine, E., O. Bar-Ilan, and J. Illes. "Brain Imaging: A Decade of Coverage in the Print Media." *Science Communication* 28, no. 1 (2006): 122–43. doi:10.1177/1075547006291990.
- Racine, Eric, Ofek Bar-Ilan, and Judy Illes. "fMRI in the Public Eye." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 6, no. 2 (February 2005): 159–64. doi:10.1038/nrn1609.
- Racine, Eric, Sarah Waldman, Jarett Rosenberg, and Judy Illes. "Contemporary Neuroscience in the Media." *Social Science & Medicine* 71, no. 4 (August 2010): 725–33. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.05.017.
- Ramani, Donato. "The Brain Seduction: The Public Perception of Neuroscience." *JCOM: Journal of Science Communication* 8, no. 4 (December 2009): 1–8.
- Robillard, Julie M., and Judy Illes. "Lost in Translation: Neuroscience and the Public." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 12, no. 2 (2011): 118–118.
- Salomon, Danielle, Laurel Martin-Harris, Brian Mullen, Brian Odegaard, Aleksey Zvinyatskovskiy, and Scott H. Chandler. "Brain Literate: Making Neuroscience Accessible to a Wider Audience of Undergraduates." *Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education* 13, no. 2 (March 15, 2015): A64–73.
- Simons, Daniel J., and Christopher F. Chabris. "What People Believe about How Memory Works: A Representative Survey of the U.S. Population." Edited by Laurie Santos. *PLoS ONE* 6, no. 8 (August 3, 2011): e22757. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022757.
- Society for Neuroscience. "BrainFacts: A Primer on the Brain and Nervous System," n.d.
- Sperduti, Alessandra, Federica Crivellaro, Paola Francesca Rossi, and Luca Bondioli. "Do Octopuses Have a Brain? Knowledge, Perceptions and Attitudes towards Neuroscience at School." Edited by Frank Krueger. *PLoS ONE* 7, no. 10 (October 17, 2012): e47943. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047943.
- "The Brain and Learning." *Marian Koshland Science Museum*. Accessed September 19, 2016. <https://www.koshland-science-museum.org/explore-the-science/life-lab/brain>.
- "The Museum of Fabric Brain Art." Accessed September 19, 2016. <http://harbaugh.uoregon.edu/Brain/>.
- "What Our Brains Can Teach Us - The New York Times." Accessed September 9, 2016. [http://www.nytimes.com/2013/02/23/opinion/what-our-brains-can-teach-us.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2013/02/23/opinion/what-our-brains-can-teach-us.html?_r=0).
- Wwendy Meluch. "The Mind Collection - Smmative Evaluation," 2008.
- "Your Brain | The Franklin Institute Science Museum." Accessed September 19, 2016. <https://www.fi.edu/exhibit/your-brain>.
- You've Got Some Explaining to Do: Advice for Neuroscientists Writing for Lay Readers*. New York City, NY: Dana Press, 2014.
- Zardetto-Smith, A. M., K. Mu, C. L. Phelps, L. E. Houtz, and C. B. Royeen. "Brains Rule! Fun = Learning = Neuroscience Literacy." *The Neuroscientist* 8, no. 5 (October 1, 2002): 396–404. doi:10.1177/107385802236965.
- Zardetto-Smith, Andrea M., Keli Mu, Laura L. Carruth, and Kyle J. Frantz. "Brains Rule!: A Model Program for Developing Professional Stewardship among Neuroscientists." *CBE-Life Sciences Education* 5, no. 2 (2006): 158–166.